LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Office Supreme Court, U. S.
FILED
MAR 15 1950
CHARLES ELMORE CROPLEY

IN THE

United States Supreme Court

October Term, 1949

No. 455

AUTOMATIC RADIO MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC.,

Petitioner,

vs.

HAZELTINE RESEARCH, INC.,

Respondent.

OBJECTION ON BEHALF OF AUTOMATIC RADIO MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC. TO MOTION ON BEHALF OF EDWIN H. ARMSTRONG FOR LEAVE TO FILE A BRIEF AS *AMICUS CURIAE*.

FLOYD H. CREWS,
405 Lexington Avenue,
New York 17, New York,
Counsel for Petitioner.

GEORGE K. WOODWORTH,
25 Kilsyth Road,
Brookline 46, Mass.,

DARBY & DARBY,
MORRIS RELSON,
405 Lexington Ave.,
New York 17, N. Y.,
Of Counsel.

IN THE

United States Supreme Court

October Term, 1949

No. 455

AUTOMATIC RADIO MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC.,
Petitioner,

vs.

HAZELTINE RESEARCH, INC.,
Respondent.

OBJECTION ON BEHALF OF AUTOMATIC RADIO MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC. TO MOTION ON BEHALF OF EDWIN H. ARMSTRONG FOR LEAVE TO FILE A BRIEF AS *AMICUS CURIAE*.

Petitioner, Automatic Radio Manufacturing Company, Inc., objects to the granting of the motion of Edwin H. Armstrong for the reason that said motion violates Paragraph 9(c) of Rule 27 of this Court in the following four respects:

1. The motion is not independent of the brief.

2. The motion is not timely. Certiorari was granted January 16, 1950 (R. 330). The motion is dated March 14, 1950, but was received by us on March 16, 1950.

3. It does not state the nature of Armstrong's interest. The only interest expressed by Armstrong is his concern with the protection of other inventors and an unexplained "vital interest" in the issues on his own behalf.

4. It does not set forth any facts or questions of law that have not been, or reasons for believing that they will not adequately be, presented by the parties, and their relevancy to the disposition of the case.

Respectfully submitted,

FLOYD H. CREWS,
Counsel for Petitioner.

GEORGE K. WOODWORTH,
DARBY & DARBY,
MORRIS RELSON,
Of Counsel.

New York, N. Y., March 17, 1950.